

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF JULY  
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO**

-

**WRIT PETITION NO : 14983 of 2005**

Between:

Adarsha Mahila Podupu Sangham, Itikyalapalli, Narsampet Mandal,  
Warangal District, Rep. by its President, Smt. Desini Padma.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

- 1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Narsampet, Warangal District,
- 2 The President School Education Committee, Itikyalapalli, Narsampet Mandal,  
Warangal District,
- 3 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Narsampet, Warangal District,
- 4 The District Collector, Warangal District, Warangal,
- 5 Saraswathi MPS Society, Itikyalapalli, Narsampet Mandal, Warangal District,.

**.....RESPONDENT(S)**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to i) declaring the proceedings No. A-B-886-2004, dt. 24-6-2005 dt. 24-6-2005 issued by the 1st respondent removing the petitioner society as Implementing Agency to the Mid-day Meals Programme as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional apart from being violative of principles of natural justice and against the principles of natural justice and settled principles of law; consequently ii) set aside the same; consequently iii) direct the respondents to permit the petitioner society to continue as Implementing Agency of the Mid-day Meals Programme to the Government Primary School, Itikyalapalli Village, Narsampet Mandal, Warangal District.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.NANDIGAM KRISHANA RAO**

**Counsel for the Respondents 1, 3 & 4: GP FOR REVENUE**

**Counsel for the Respondents 2 & 5: ---**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following ORDER:**

The petitioner-society was appointed as an implementing agency for Mid-day Meals Programme at the Government Primary School, Itikyalapalli Village, in the year 2003.

The main grievance of the petitioner-society is that without issuing any notice, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent issued impugned proceedings dated 24-06-2005 cancelling the appointment of petitioner-society as an implementing agency on the ground of complaints received from the Revenue Divisional Officer, Narsampet, and local MLAs during the Grama Praja Padam and the Enquiry Report dated 16-05-2005 of the Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Narsampet, and entrusted the work to the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent-society herein. Hence, this writ petition.

The decision was taken by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in the interest of children. Therefore, I see no reason to entertain the writ petition. Further, the petitioner-society has not filed its appointment order to know the terms and conditions of the order.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

12<sup>th</sup> JULY, 2005.

***kvni***

To

- 1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Narsampet, Warangal District,
- 2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Narsampet, Warangal District,
- 3 The District Collector, Warangal District, Warangal,

4 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, A.P.High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two CD copies.